

Idaho Roadless Area Review - Clearwater National Forest

IRA Name	IRA Acres (Based on 2000 EIS)	IRA Acres (Reflected in 2006 Draft)	Location and Basic Info				Current Rx	Public Comment		County Recommendation and Comment		
			County(s) in IRA and (approx IRA acres per County)	Inholdings (Private, State Land)	Adjacent NF	IRA Roadless Category 1B, 1B- 1, or 1C (acres per category)	1987 Clearwater FP Rx	Comment on Specific IRAs	General Comment on this NF	County #1	County #2	County #3
Highhorn-Weitas (#1306)	253,906	254,830	Clearwater (173,298), Idaho (80,617)	No	N/A	1B (115,659), 1C (138,247)	A3 - Dispersed Recreation in Unroaded Setting; C1 - Key Big Game Summer Range; C6 - Key Fishery Habitat; C3 - Key Big Game Winter Range/Unsuitable for Timber Management; C4 - Key Big Game Winter Range/Timber Management; CBS - Big Game Summer Range/Timber Management; US - Unsuitable Land	5 org & 33 individuals protect roadless; 8 org & 29 individual support FP and/or amendment to FP. Total comments 13 organizations, 62 individuals.	Clearwater NF specific comments: Protect roadless 5 organizations, 41 individuals; support Forest Plan or amendment - 8 organizations, 33 individuals. Total comments specific to Clearwater National Forest 13 organizations, 74 individuals.	Clearwater: Specific - 1) Road 555 open, 2) existing roads and trails open, 3) reopen closed roads, 4) manage with fire and timber; 5) no prescribed burning near plantations planted in 1970s and 1980s (i.e., Stick Creek to Coral Crk). General - Support 1) active management in the pursuit of forest health; 2) access for citizens via trails and roads; 3) mining and believe people should be able to work their claims; 4) believe 1987 plan is good plan if it were followed; 5) keeping roads open to use for eradication of noxious and invasive weeds; 6) cattle grazing where appropriate. FS should construct only roads necessary for timber harvest and resource activities. Roads should be constructed into areas being managed as roadless for fire suppression or for salvage timber but obliterated afterwards.	Idaho: Specific - All roadless area are open for development and active management within legal and physical limitations. Support protection of soil, water, fish wildlife and visual resources. Realize within these areas there are areas unsuitable for timber management. Realize it will take many plan periods before many areas are considered for access and harvest operations. Progress to bring roadless areas under active management will be slow, and in the interim recommend these areas be allocated to motorized use. This is compatible with long-term designation for timber management, and project plans for access and harvest can be adjusted to continue motorized recreational use. See general comments too.	N/A
Eldorado Creek (#1312)	6,741	6,810	Idaho (6,741)	No	N/A	1C (6,741)	E1 - Timber Management	2 org & 20 individuals protect roadless; 3 org & 3 individual support FP and/or amendment to FP. Total comments 5 organizations, 23 individuals.	Clearwater NF specific comments: Protect roadless 5 organizations, 41 individuals; support Forest Plan or amendment - 8 organizations, 33 individuals. Total comments specific to Clearwater National Forest 13 organizations, 74 individuals.	Idaho: General - Don't support more wilderness in Idaho County unless unique feature to protect. Support small Wilderness designations (or making wilderness smaller) to clean up boundaries, improve management, helps citizens obey law. Support protection of special features outside of wilderness (don't believe this requires special management direction); support use of mechanized equipment outside of wilderness including proposed wilderness; need to address increasing demand for motorized recreation; reinstitute grazing as valid use; support use of helicopters in wilderness areas for census counts.	Idaho: continued general - Support active management including timber harvest, salvage logging, thinning and pre- commercial thinning, prescribed fire, fire use and grazing to help reduce high fuel loads. All roads and should be open to use in fight against invasive species. Request process to expedite forest health treatments on written request and need.	N/A
Hoodoo (#1301)	150,565	153,850	Clearwater (143,611), Idaho (4,164), Shoshone (2,727)	No	Lolo NF, MT	1B (3,214), 1B-1 (112,003), 1C (35,348)	A3 - Dispersed Recreation in Unroaded Setting; C1 - Key Big Game Summer Range; C6 - Key Fishery Habitat; B2 - Recommended Wilderness; C4 - Key Big Game Winter Range/Timber Management; E1 - Timber Management; CBS - Big Game Summer Range/Timber Management; US - Unsuitable Land	5 org & 30 individuals protect roadless; 8 org & 29 individual support FP and/or amendment to FP. Total comments 13 organizations, 59 individuals.	Clearwater NF specific comments: Protect roadless 5 organizations, 41 individuals; support Forest Plan or amendment - 8 organizations, 33 individuals. Total comments specific to Clearwater National Forest 13 organizations, 74 individuals.	Clearwater: Specific - In B2 area reopen roads, existing roads and trails open, motorized access to mining claims, use fire to preserve natural character of landscape; outside B2 area - accessible areas managed for timber production in conjunction with prescribed burning for forest health and to address bug kill, disease, etc.. General - Support 1) active management in the pursuit of forest health; 2) access for citizens via trails and roads; 3) mining and believe people should be able to work their claims; 4) believe 1987 plan is good plan if it were followed; 5) keeping roads open to use for eradication of noxious and invasive weeds; 6) cattle grazing where appropriate. FS should construct only roads necessary for timber harvest and resource activities. Roads should be constructed into areas being managed as roadless for fire suppression or for salvage timber but obliterated afterwards.	Idaho: Specific - Great Burn Wilderness (also known as Hoodoo) support original wilderness area plan proposal of 1987. No significant features in the expanded wilderness area proposal that cannot be found elsewhere to justify expanding the wilderness area proposal. Maintain motorized recreation areas, develop dispersed recreation/camp sites; maintain Lolo Trail at least for 4x4 vehicles, mechanical assets/tool use okay, allow winter snowmobile recreation. See general comments too.	Shoshone: Specific - support Clearwater County specific comments. General 1) Provide reasonable access to public and private property; 2) boundaries of IRAs established in RARE II should not be changed; 3) roads that currently exist should be available to the public, construction of new roads in IRAs should only be considered when forest health is significantly compromised; 4) support sustainable resource management; 5) protect the WUI and communities and implement the community fire plan; 6) crisis forest health conditions should be given priority and tools; 7) provide process for salvage harvest, forest thinning or other forest health treatments where not otherwise prohibited.
Lochsa Face (#1311)	74,662	75,930	Idaho (74,665)	No	N/A	1B (24,486), 1C (50,176)	A3 - Dispersed Recreation in Unroaded Setting; C1 - Key Big Game Summer Range; C6 - Key Fishery Habitat; A7 - Wild and Scenic River; C3 - Key Big Game Winter Range/Unsuitable for Timber Management; C4 - Key Big Game Winter Range/Timber Management; E1 - Timber Management; CBS - Big Game Summer Range/Timber Management; US - Unsuitable Land	3 org & 19 individuals protect roadless; 6 org & 4 individual support FP and/or amendment to FP. Total comments 9 organizations, 23 individuals.	Clearwater NF specific comments: Protect roadless 5 organizations, 41 individuals; support Forest Plan or amendment - 8 organizations, 33 individuals. Total comments specific to Clearwater National Forest 13 organizations, 74 individuals.	Idaho: Specific - Keep available for timber management but recommend no roads. Area from Wilderness Gateway to Flytrap Butte, designated A3 and C3 recommended for roadless recreation with protection for limited motorized access. All other areas open for timber management. No timber harvest in upper Fish Creek/Upper Hungry Creek areas. The rest open for timber harvest and active management. See also general comments.	N/A	N/A

Idaho Roadless Area Review - Clearwater National Forest

IRA Name	IRA Acres (Based on 2000 EIS)	IRA Acres (Reflected in 2006 Draft)	Location and Basic Info				Current Rx	Public Comment		County Recommendation and Comment		
			County(s) in IRA and (approx IRA acres per County)	Inholdings (Private, State Land)	Adjacent NF	IRA Roadless Category 1B, 1B- 1, or 1C (acres per category)	1987 Clearwater FP Rx	Comment on Specific IRAs	General Comment on this NF	County #1	County #2	County #3
Lolo Creek (#1805)	58 (remainder of IRA acres are in MT)	70	Idaho (68)	Adjacent to private lands	Lolo NF, MT	1C (68)	US - Unsuitable Land	3 org & 16 individuals protect roadless; 2 org & 3 individual support FP and/or amendment to FP. Total comments 5 organizations, 19 individuals.	Clearwater NF specific comments: Protect roadless 5 organizations, 41 individuals; support Forest Plan or amendment - 8 organizations, 33 individuals. Total comments specific to Clearwater National Forest 13 organizations, 74 individuals.	Idaho: General - Don't support more Wilderness in Idaho County unless unique feature to protect. Support small Wilderness designations (or making wilderness smaller) to clean up boundaries, improve management, helps citizens obey law. Support protection of special features outside of wilderness (don't believe this requires special management direction); support use of mechanized equipment outside of wilderness including proposed wilderness; need to address increasing demand for motorized recreation; reinstitute grazing as valid use; support use of helicopters in wilderness areas for census counts.	Idaho: (continued general) Support active management including timber harvest, salvage logging, thinning and pre- commercial thinning, prescribed fire, fire use and grazing to help reduce high fuel loads. All roads and should be open to use in fight against invasive species. Request process to expedite forest health treatments on written request and need.	N/A
Mallard-Larkins (#1300)	137,338	126,290	Clearwater, Shoshone. [Total IRA acres including Clearwater NF and Panhandle NF: Clearwater 119,490, Shoshone 138,840]	No	Panhandle NF, IRA located in Clearwater and Panhandle NF.	1B (10,259), 1B-1 (67,284), 1C (59,795)	A3 - Dispersed Recreation in Unroaded Setting; C1 - Key Big Game Summer Range; C6 - Key Fishery Habitat; B2 - Key Fishery Habitat; B2 - Recommended Wilderness; C3 - Key Big Game Winter Range/Unsuitable for Timber Management; C4 - Key Big Game Winter Range/Timber Management; E1 - Timber Management; M1 - Research Natural Areas and Special Areas; US - Unsuitable Land	5 org & 30 individuals protect roadless; 8 org & 24 individual support FP and/or amendment to FP. Total comments 13 organizations, 54 individuals.	Clearwater NF specific comments: Protect roadless 5 organizations, 41 individuals; support Forest Plan or amendment - 8 organizations, 33 individuals. Total comments specific to Clearwater National Forest 13 organizations, 74 individuals.	Clearwater: Specific - manage as is with two boundary adjustments - 1) delete Bear Creek area from proposed wilderness and manage for timber; 2) delete area south of Skull Creek from proposed wilderness and manage for timber. General - Support 1) active management in the pursuit of forest health; 2) access for citizens via trails and roads; 3) mining and believe people should be able to work their claims; 4) believe 1987 plan is good plan if it were followed; 5) keeping roads open to use for eradication of noxious and invasive weeds; 6) cattle grazing where appropriate. FS should construct only roads necessary for timber harvest and resource activities. Roads should be constructed into areas being managed as roadless for fire suppression or for salvage timber but obliterated afterwards.	Shoshone: Specific - Agree with specific recommendations on this IRA made by Clearwater and Benewah counties. General 1) Provide reasonable access to public and private property; 2) boundaries of IRAs established in RARE II should not be changed; 3) roads that currently exist should be available to the public; construction of new roads in IRAs should only be considered when forest health is significantly compromised; 4) support sustainable resource management; 5) protect the WUI and communities and implement the community fire plan; 6) crisis forest health conditions should be given priority and tools; 7) provide process for salvage harvest, forest thinning or other forest health treatments where not otherwise prohibited.	N/A
Hoodoo Creek - Upper North Fork (#1302)	46,423	43,210	Clearwater, Shoshone. [Total IRA acres: Clearwater 24,163, Shoshone 26,623]	Private inholdings present (approx 300 acres)	Panhandle NF, IRA located in Clearwater and Panhandle NF.	1C (46,423)	C8B - Big Game Summer Range/Timber Management; US - Unsuitable Land	4 org & 28 individuals protect roadless; 8 org & 24 individual support FP and/or amendment to FP. Total comments 12 organizations, 52 individuals.	Clearwater NF specific comments: Protect roadless 5 organizations, 41 individuals; support Forest Plan or amendment - 8 organizations, 33 individuals. Total comments specific to Clearwater National Forest 13 organizations, 74 individuals.	Clearwater: Specific - reopen roads, existing roads and trails open, motorized access to mining claims, use fire to preserve natural character of landscape; accessible areas managed for timber production in conjunction with prescribed burning for forest health and to address bug kill, disease, etc. General - Support 1) active management in the pursuit of forest health; 2) access for citizens via trails and roads; 3) mining and believe people should be able to work their claims; 4) believe 1987 plan is good plan if it were followed; 5) keeping roads open to use for eradication of noxious and invasive weeds; 6) cattle grazing where appropriate. FS should construct only roads necessary for timber harvest and resource activities. Roads should be constructed into areas being managed as roadless for fire suppression or for salvage timber but obliterated afterwards.	Shoshone: Specific - Agree with specific recommendations on this IRA made by Clearwater County. General 1) Provide reasonable access to public and private property; 2) boundaries of IRAs established in RARE II should not be changed; 3) roads that currently exist should be available to the public, construction of new roads in IRAs should only be considered when forest health is significantly compromised; 4) support sustainable resource management; 5) protect the WUI and communities and implement the community fire plan; 6) crisis forest health conditions should be given priority and tools; 7) provide process for salvage harvest, forest thinning or other forest health treatments where not otherwise prohibited.	N/A
Roose Mountain (#1305)	21,005	22,020	Clearwater (21,006)	No	N/A	1B (14,204), 1C (6,711)	A3 - Dispersed Recreation in Unroaded Setting; C1 - Key Big Game Summer Range; C6 - Key Fishery Habitat; C3 - Key Big Game Winter Range/Unsuitable for Timber Management; C4 - Key Big Game Winter Range/Timber Management; E1 - Timber Management; E3 - Aerial Harvest Systems/Timber Management; US - Unsuitable Land	5 org & 27 individuals protect roadless; 7 org & 24 individual support FP and/or amendment to FP. Total comments 12 organizations, 51 individuals.	Clearwater NF specific comments: Protect roadless 5 organizations, 41 individuals; support Forest Plan or amendment - 8 organizations, 33 individuals. Total comments specific to Clearwater National Forest 13 organizations, 74 individuals.	Clearwater: Specific - reopen roads, existing roads and trails open, motorized access to mining claims, use fire to preserve natural character of landscape; accessible areas managed for timber production in conjunction with prescribed burning for forest health and to address bug kill, disease, etc. General - Support 1) active management in the pursuit of forest health; 2) access for citizens via trails and roads; 3) mining and believe people should be able to work their claims; 4) believe 1987 plan is good plan if it were followed; 5) keeping roads open to use for eradication of noxious and invasive weeds; 6) cattle grazing where appropriate. FS should construct only roads necessary for timber harvest and resource activities. Roads should be constructed into areas being managed as roadless for fire suppression or for salvage timber but obliterated afterwards.	N/A	N/A

Idaho Roadless Area Review - Clearwater National Forest

IRA Name	IRA Acres (Based on 2000 EIS)	IRA Acres (Reflected in 2006 Draft)	Location and Basic Info				Current Rx	Public Comment		County Recommendation and Comment		
			County(s) in IRA and (approx IRA acres per County)	Inholdings (Private, State Land)	Adjacent NF	IRA Roaded Category 1B, 1B- 1, or 1C (acres per category)	1987 Clearwater FP Rx	Comment on Specific IRAs	General Comment on this NF	County #1	County #2	County #3
North Fork Spruce - White Sand (#1309)	34,230	35,830	Idaho (34,230)	Adjacent to private inholdings	N/A	1B (5,791), 1B-1 (5,778), 1C (18,661)	A3 - Dispersed Recreation in Unroaded Setting; C1 - Key Big Game Summer Range; C6 - Key Fishery Habitat; B2 Recommended Wilderness; C4 - Key Big Game Winter Range/Timber Management; E1 - Timber Management; E3 - Aerial Harvest Systems/Timber Management; US - Unsuitable Land	3 org & 23 individuals protect roadless; 3 org & 3 individual support FP and/or amendment to FP. Total comments 6 organizations, 26 individuals.	Clearwater NF specific comments: Protect roadless 5 organizations, 41 individuals; support Forest Plan or amendment - 8 organizations, 33 individuals Total comments specific to Clearwater National Forest 13 organizations, 74 individuals.	Idaho: Specific - Maintain original proposed wilderness recommendation. Manage remainder for roadless recreational uses but maintain existing motorized uses in place. Timber management as necessary to contain or prevent insect, disease, or mechanical damage. See also general comments.	N/A	N/A
North Lochsa Slope (#1307)	115,422	117,670	Idaho (115,426)	No	N/A	1B (57,626), 1C (57,796)	A3 - Dispersed Recreation in Unroaded Setting; C1 - Key Big Game Summer Range; C3 - Key Big Game Winter Range/Unsuitable for Timber Management; C4 - Key Big Game Winter Range/Timber Management; C6 - Key Fishery Habitat; M1 - Research Natural Areas and Special Areas; CBS - Big Game Summer Range/Timber Management; US - Unsuitable Land	4 org & 20 individuals protect roadless; 3 org & 3 individual support FP and/or amendment to FP. Total comments 7 organizations, 23 individuals.	Clearwater NF specific comments: Protect roadless 5 organizations, 41 individuals; support Forest Plan or amendment - 8 organizations, 33 individuals Total comments specific to Clearwater National Forest 13 organizations, 74 individuals.	Idaho: Specific - Keep available for timber management but no roads. Area from Wilderness Gateway to Piyotop Butte designated A3 and C3 in Forest Plan recommended for roadless recreation with protection for limited motorized access. All other areas open for timber harvest. Maintain existing motorized roads. Keep north end roadless. No timber harvest in upper Fish Creek /Upper Hungry Creek areas. Rest open for timber harvest and active management. See also general comments.	N/A	N/A
Pot Mountain (#1304)	51,423	51,050	Clearwater (51,423)	No	N/A	1C (51,423)	C4 - Key Big Game Winter Range/Timber Management; M1 - Research Natural Areas and Special Areas; CBS - Big Game Summer Range/Timber Management; US - Unsuitable Land	4 org & 30 individuals protect roadless; 8 org & 24 individual support FP and/or amendment to FP. Total comments 12 organizations, 54 individuals.	Clearwater NF specific comments: Protect roadless 5 organizations, 41 individuals; support Forest Plan or amendment - 8 organizations, 33 individuals Total comments specific to Clearwater National Forest 13 organizations, 74 individuals.	Clearwater: Specific - Use timber harvest in conjunction with controlled burn to reduce dangerous fuel concentrations and benefit big game. Manage for multiple use. Existing roads and trails should stay open. General - Support 1) active management in the pursuit of forest health; 2) access for citizens via trails and roads; 3) mining and believe people should be able to work their claims; 4) believe 1987 plan is good plan if it were followed; 5) keeping roads open to use for eradication of noxious and invasive weeds; 6) cattle grazing where appropriate. FS should construct only roads necessary for timber harvest and resource activities. Roads should be constructed into areas being managed as roadless for fire suppression or for salvage timber but obliterated afterwards.	N/A	N/A
Rackliff-Gedney (#1841)	35,802	36,330	Idaho (35,802)	No	Nez Perce NF, IRA located in Clearwater and Nez Perce NF.	1B (5,642), 1C (30,160)	A3 - Dispersed Recreation in Unroaded Setting; A7 - Wild and Scenic River; C1 - Key Big Game Summer Range; C4 - Key Big Game Winter Range/Timber Management; C6 - Key Fishery Habitat; CBS - Big Game Summer Range/Timber Management; US - Unsuitable Land	1 org & 11 individuals protect roadless; 6 org & 3 individual support FP and/or amendment to FP. Total comments 7 organizations, 14 individuals.	Clearwater NF specific comments: Protect roadless 5 organizations, 41 individuals; support Forest Plan or amendment - 8 organizations, 33 individuals Total comments specific to Clearwater National Forest 13 organizations, 74 individuals.	Idaho: Specific - Open for timber management and general forest management. Repair deteriorating roads. See also general comments.	N/A	N/A

Idaho Roadless Area Review - Clearwater National Forest

IRA Name	IRA Acres (Based on 2000 EIS)	IRA Acres (Reflected in 2006 Draft)	Location and Basic Info				Current Rx	Public Comment		County Recommendation and Comment		
			County(s) in IRA and (approx IRA acres per County)	Inholdings (Private, State Land)	Adjacent NF	IRA Roadless Category 1B, 1B- 1, or 1C (acres per category)	1987 Clearwater FP Rx	Comment on Specific IRAs	General Comment on this NF	County #1	County #2	County #3
Rawhide (#1313)	5,828	6,030	Clearwater (2,537), Shoshone (3,289)	No	N/A	1C (5,828)	E1 - Timber Management; CBS - Big Game Summer Range/Timber Management; US - Unsuitable Land	4 org & 26 individuals protect roadless; 8 org & 23 individuals; support Forest Plan or amendment - 8 organizations, 33 individuals Total comments specific to Clearwater National Forest 13 organizations, 74 individuals.	Clearwater NF specific comments: Protect roadless 5 organizations, 41 individuals; support Forest Plan or amendment - 8 organizations, 33 individuals Total comments specific to Clearwater National Forest 13 organizations, 74 individuals.	Clearwater: Specific - reopen roads, existing roads and trails open, motorized access to mining claims, use fire to preserve natural character of landscape; production in conjunction with prescribed burning for forest health and to address bug kill, disease, etc. General - Support 1) active management in the pursuit of forest health; 2) access for citizens via trails and roads; 3) mining and believe people should be able to work their claims; 4) believe 1987 plan is good plan if it were followed; 5) keeping roads open to use for eradication of noxious and invasive weeds; 6) cattle grazing where appropriate. FS should construct only roads necessary for timber harvest and resource activities. Roads should be constructed into areas being managed as roadless for fire suppression or for salvage timber but obliterated afterwards.	Shoshone: General - Majority of comments rec'd apply to Idaho Panhandle NF, also rec'd comments and input concerning Nez Perce and Clearwater NFs. General 1) Provide reasonable access to public and private property; 2) boundaries of IRAs established in RARE II should not be changed; 3) roads that currently exist should be available to the public, construction of new roads in IRAs should only be considered when forest health is significantly compromised; 4) support sustainable resource management; 5) protect the WUI and communities and implement the community fire plan; 6) crisis forest health conditions should be given priority and tools; 7) provide process for salvage harvest, forest thinning or other forest health treatments where not otherwise prohibited.	N/A
Siwash (#1303)	9,460	8,980	Clearwater (9,460)	No	N/A	1C (9,460)	C4 - Key Big Game Winter Range/Timber Management; E1 - Timber Management; US Unsuitable Land	4 org & 28 individuals protect roadless; 6 org & 25 individual support FP and/or amendment to FP. Total comments 10 organizations, 53 individuals.	Clearwater NF specific comments: Protect roadless 5 organizations, 41 individuals; support Forest Plan or amendment - 8 organizations, 33 individuals Total comments specific to Clearwater National Forest 13 organizations, 74 individuals.	Clearwater: Specific - reopen roads, existing roads and trails open, motorized access to mining claims, use fire to preserve natural character of landscape; outside B2 area - accessible areas managed for timber production in conjunction with prescribed burning for forest health and to address bug kill, disease, etc. General - Support 1) active management in the pursuit of forest health; 2) access for citizens via trails and roads; 3) mining and believe people should be able to work their claims; 4) believe 1987 plan is good plan if it were followed; 5) keeping roads open to use for eradication of noxious and invasive weeds; 6) cattle grazing where appropriate. FS should construct only roads necessary for timber harvest and resource activities. Roads should be constructed into areas being managed as roadless for fire suppression or for salvage timber but obliterated afterwards.	N/A	N/A
Sneakfoot Meadows (#1314)	22,802	23,300	Idaho (22,803)	No	N/A	1B (6,351), 1B-1 (9,305), 1C (7,146)	A3 - Dispersed Recreation in Unroaded Setting; B2 - Recommended Wilderness; M1 - Research Natural Areas and Special Areas; C1 - Key Big Game Summer Range; C6 - Key Fishery Habitat; CBS - Big Game Summer Range/Timber Management; US - Unsuitable Land	2 org & 21 individuals protect roadless; 7 org & 3 individual support FP and/or amendment to FP. Total comments 9 organizations, 24 individuals.	Clearwater NF specific comments: Protect roadless 5 organizations, 41 individuals; support Forest Plan or amendment - 8 organizations, 33 individuals Total comments specific to Clearwater National Forest 13 organizations, 74 individuals.	Idaho: Specific - Manage as general forest with emphasis on roadless recreation. Road to Elk summit to remain open and maintained in perpetuity. General - Don't support more Wilderness in Idaho County unless unique feature to protect. Support small Wilderness designations (or making wilderness smaller) to clean up boundaries, improve management, helps citizens obey law. Support protection of special features outside of wilderness (don't believe this requires special management direction); support use of mechanized equipment outside of wilderness including proposed wilderness; need to address increasing demand for motorized recreation; reinstitute grazing as valid use; support use of helicopters in wilderness areas for census counts.	Idaho: (continued general) Support active management including timber harvest, salvage logging, thinning and pre- commercial thinning, prescribed fire, fire use and grazing to help reduce high fuel loads. All roads and should be open to use in fight against invasive species. Request process to expedite forest health treatments on written request and need.	N/A
Weir - Post Office (#1308)	22,337	22,070	Idaho (22,338)	No	N/A	1C (22,337)	A7 - Wild and Scenic River; C4 - Key Big Game Winter Range/Timber Management; E1 - Timber Management; US Unsuitable Land	3 org & 23 individuals protect roadless; 7 org & 3 individual support FP and/or amendment to FP. Total comments 10 organizations, 26 individuals.	Clearwater NF specific comments: Protect roadless 5 organizations, 41 individuals; support Forest Plan or amendment - 8 organizations, 33 individuals Total comments specific to Clearwater National Forest 13 organizations, 74 individuals.	Idaho: Specific - Maintain active management as general forest. Include maintenance of all existing roads and trails. Keep options open. General - Don't support more Wilderness in Idaho County unless unique feature to protect. Support small Wilderness designations (or making wilderness smaller) to clean up boundaries, improve management, helps citizens obey law. Support protection of special features outside of wilderness (don't believe this requires special management direction); support use of mechanized equipment outside of wilderness including proposed wilderness; need to address increasing demand for motorized recreation; reinstitute grazing as valid use; support use of helicopters in wilderness areas for census counts.	Idaho: (continued general) Support active management including timber harvest, salvage logging, thinning and pre- commercial thinning, prescribed fire, fire use and grazing to help reduce high fuel loads. All roads and should be open to use in fight against invasive species. Request process to expedite forest health treatments on written request and need.	N/A